

**Supplementary material**

---

## Impact of the Everolimus-eluting Bioresorbable Scaffold in Coronary Atherosclerosis

Carlos M. Campos,<sup>a,b,c</sup> Hector M. Garcia-Garcia,<sup>a,\*</sup> Takashi Muramatsu,<sup>a,d</sup> Pedro de Araujo Gonçaves,<sup>e,f,g</sup> Yoshinobu Onuma,<sup>a</sup> Dariusz Dudek,<sup>h</sup> Leif Thuesen,<sup>i</sup> Mark WI Webster,<sup>j</sup> Pieter Kitslaar,<sup>k,l</sup> Susan Veldhof,<sup>m</sup> Johan H. C. Reiber,<sup>k,l</sup> Koen Nieman,<sup>a,n</sup> John A. Ormiston,<sup>j</sup> and Patrick W. Serruys<sup>o</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Department of Cardiology, Erasmus University Medical Centre, Thoraxcenter, Rotterdam, The Netherlands*

<sup>b</sup>*Heart Institute (InCor), University of São Paulo Medical School, Sao Paulo, Brazil*

<sup>c</sup>*Department of Interventional Cardiology, Hospital Israelita Albert Einstein, Sao Paulo, Brazil*

<sup>d</sup>*Department of Cardiology, Fujita Health University Hospital, Toyoake, Japan*

<sup>e</sup>*Cardiology Department, Hospital de Santa Cruz, CHLO, Lisbon, Portugal*

<sup>f</sup>*Hospital da Luz, Cardiovascular Center, ESS, Lisbon, Portugal*

<sup>g</sup>*CEDOC, Chronic Diseases Research Center, FCM-NOVA, Lisbon, Portugal*

<sup>h</sup>*Jagiellonian University, Krakow, Poland*

<sup>i</sup>*Department of Cardiology, Aarhus University Hospital, Skejby, Denmark*

<sup>j</sup>*Auckland City Hospital, Auckland, New Zealand*

<sup>k</sup>*Medis Medical Imaging Systems bv, Leiden, The Netherlands*

<sup>l</sup>*Division of Image Processing, Department of Radiology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands*

<sup>m</sup>*Abbott, Vascular, Diegem, Belgium*

<sup>n</sup>*Department of Radiology, Thoraxcenter, Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, the Netherlands*

<sup>o</sup>*International Centre for Circulatory Health, NHLI, Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom*

---

### Cluster Analysis

A K-mean cluster analysis was run using the segment name as a categorical variable and the change in percent atheroma volume (% PAV) as continuous variable. Two clusters were found, distributed as follows:

	Segment name							Total
	LCX distal	LCX proximal	LAD distal	LAD proximal	RCA distal	RCA proximal	Scaffold	
Cluster 1	8	7	5	7	12	10	9	58
Count								
% within cluster	13.8%	12.1%	8.6%	12.1%	20.7%	17.2%	15.5%	100.0%
Case number								
% within segment name	66.7%	53.8%	55.6%	77.8%	85.7%	71.4%	50.0%	65.2%
% of total	9.0%	7.9%	5.6%	7.9%	13.5%	11.2%	10.1%	65.2%
Cluster 2	4	6	4	2	2	4	9	31
Count								
% within cluster	12.9%	19.4%	12.9%	6.5%	6.5%	12.9%	29.0%	100.0%
Case number of Case								
% within segment name	33.3%	46.2%	44.4%	22.2%	14.3%	28.6%	50.0%	34.8%
% of total	4.5%	6.7%	4.5%	2.2%	2.2%	4.5%	10.1%	34.8%
Total	12	13	9	9	14	14	18	89
Count								
% within cluster	13.5%	14.6%	10.1%	10.1%	15.7%	15.7%	20.2%	100.0%
Case number of								
% within 18 months.Segment_name	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
% of total	13.5%	14.6%	10.1%	10.1%	15.7%	15.7%	20.2%	100.0%

It can be noticed that in nonintervened segments, most segments were in cluster 1. Moreover, treated segments were divided equally between clusters 1 and 2. The most prevalent segments in cluster 2 were treated segments (29.0% of cluster 2 members).

LAD, left anterior descending coronary artery; LCX, left circumflex coronary artery; RCA, right coronary artery.

The changes in percent atheroma volume of the 2 clusters were the following:

	Cluster Number	N	Mean	Standard deviation	Standard error of the mean	<i>P</i>
Percent atheroma volume at 18 -mo	1	58	43.59	9.99	1.31	.010
	2	31	49.33	9.44	1.69	
Percent atheroma volume at 60-mo	1	58	49.42	11.01	1.44	.018
	2	31	43.88	8.89	1.59	
Change in percent atheroma volume	1	58	5.83	4.10	0.53	<.01
	2	31	-5.45	4.78	0.85	
Distance of case from its classification cluster center	1	58	3.19	2.54	0.33	.16
	2	31	3.99	2.52	0.45	

Cluster 1 had a mean increase of  $5.83 \pm 4.10\%$  in %PAV while cluster 2 had a mean decrease of  $5.45 \pm 4.78\%$  in %PAV ( $P < .01$ ). The mean intracluster distance of case from its classification cluster center did not differ between the 2 groups ( $P = .16$ ).